

CWP-7300-2022

DECIDED ON: 7th APRIL, 2022

NIRMAL SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGILPresent: Mr. Ishnoor Bains, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in the light of the order passed by this Court in CWP-6576-2022, titled as **“Ram Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others”**; decided on 30th March, 2022, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the State has brought to the knowledge of the Court that all the revision petitions, which were mentioned in the order dated 30th March, 2022 passed by this Court in the above referred writ petition, are either listed for hearing on 22nd July, 2022 or 29th July, 2022. She, therefore, submits that in the order dated 30th March, 2022 the date of hearing of those revision petitions is mentioned as 22nd April, 2022, which would not be factually correct.

In the light of statement made by counsel for the State, it goes without saying that the direction which has been issued by this Court including the one on 30th March, 2022 was intended either for the disposal of the revision petitions or atleast the stay application on the date of hearing which was fixed

in the revision petitions and if not possible on the said date, it may be done within a further period of one week thereafter.

The present writ petition stands disposed off, as infructuous in the light of the above order.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

7th APRIL, 2022
sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>