

CRM-M-11713-2020

Date of decision: 09.09.2022

CHARAN SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of appropriate directions to respondent Nos.1 and 2 for conducting proper and fair investigation in the matter by constituting a Special Investigating Team.

Learned State counsel submits that the dispute is primarily property dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.7 who are real brothers. The wife of the petitioner has lodged an FIR bearing No.10 dated 16.09.2015, under Sections 66(A)/66(E) of Information and Technology Act, 2000 and Section 376 IPC, 1860 at Women Police Station, Jind against respondent No.7. The wife of respondent No.7 has lodged an FIR No. 12 dated 23.09.2015, under Sections 376(2)(f) & 506 IPC, 1860 at Women Police Station, Jind against the petitioner.

Thorough investigation in both the FIRs has been conducted. As, it was a case of property dispute and on the basis of material collected during investigation separate cancellation reports in both the aforesaid FIRs have been submitted before the Illaqa Magistrate. In both the cases, the complainant of each case have appeared and submitted protest petitions. The Illaqa Magistrate is now seized of the matter. Consequently, no further action is called for.

In view of the categorical statement of the learned State counsel, no

further action is called for and the petition stands disposed of.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three months, in the event, the aforesaid assertion is found to be factually incorrect.

09.09.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No