

CWP-7427-2022

Date of Decision: 07.04.2022

Dharambir

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Dhanora, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The private respondents had filed an application for restoration of a demolished water course. The same was allowed and water course was ordered to be restored for a period of six months. The petitioner as well as the private respondents challenged this order by way of separate appeals. The appeal filed by the petitioner has been dismissed while that filed by the private respondents has been allowed and the water course has been ordered to be restored permanently. Revision filed by the petitioner against the appellate order has failed.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the revisional order deserves to be set aside as it is non-speaking.

A perusal of the order of the revisional Court shows that the contention is incorrect. The canal authorities are not expected to pass detailed reasoned orders. Sufficient reasons have been given while dismissing the revision petition and, thus, it does not call for any interference.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

07.04.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE