IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(215)

CWP-7031-2019.
Date of Decision:-15.09.2022.

Akhil Malik

……Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Public Service Commissioner

……Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

****

Present: Ms. Pooja Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Ramesh Sindhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate for
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the respondent.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

****

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the respondents are *ad idem* that the present writ petition would not survive in the light of the fact that after the petitioner was permitted to take part in the written examination, he was unable to clear the same and obtain the minimum benchmark required for the purposes of participating in the *viva-voce* examination.

In the light of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

September 15, 2022.
sandeep
Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No

SANDEEP SETHI
2022.09.16 12:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
Order/Judgment.