

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.123

CR No.1317 of 2022

Date of Decision: 29.04.2022

Mange Ram

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Sunita Devi

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms. Geeta Singhwal, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant revision petition while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate and efficacious remedy before the Lower Appellate Court, as or if any, may be available to him under law, to seek the redressal of his grievance, as set-forth in this petition.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

29.04.2022

neetu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No