

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(130)

CWP-7375-2022

Date of Decision : April 07, 2022

Nandi Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the family pension which is being paid to the petitioner after the demise of her husband, is not being revised keeping in view the revision of the pay/pensionary benefits of the Government employees. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that non revising of the pension of the petitioner is causing prejudice as the petitioner is not getting the pension for which she is entitled for in accordance with her entitlement keeping in view the notification issued by the Government of Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the relief which has been claimed in the present petition, the petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-3) upon the respondents which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate

speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 07.09.2021 (Annexure P-3) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within four weeks thereafter.

April 07, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No