

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP No.3047 of 2022
Date of Decision: 02.04.2022

Kiran Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Ms. Deepali Rampal, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, D.A.G. Punjab.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the brothers of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at their hands (respondent Nos.4 and 5). In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 30.03.2022 (Annexure P-7) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot (respondent No.2), but to no avail.

A perusal of the record reveals that the representation was sent by post on 30.03.2022 (Annexure P-8). However, apprehending imminent danger, they have sought indulgence.

In the above circumstances, seeking protection of their life and liberty, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present

petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot (respondent No.2) to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 30.03.2022 (Annexure P-7) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

April 02, 2022
Sachin M.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No