

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1009-2020

Date of Decision: 23.03.2022

DHARAMPAL ALIAS SANJU

...Petitioner

vs.

VINAY SINGH, IAS AND ANR

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Sanjay Mittal, Addl.AG, Haryana

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 3.12.2019, in CRWP-1403-2019 in case titled as "Dharampal alias Sanju vs. State of Haryana and others".

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 3.12.2019 reveals that CRWP-1403-2019 was disposed of by directing the Commissioner, Hisar Division Hisar to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in the light of decision in CWP-1980-2018 in case titled as "Sanjay vs. State of Haryana" decided on 8.8.2018 and guidelines of the State (Annexure P3) in accordance with law within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment. It was further directed that in case the relief claimed by the petitioner was not found admissible or made out, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

3. Learned counsel contends that the instant petition was filed

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 refers to Annexures R-1 to R-3 i.e. warrants of temporary release dated 4.3.2020, 27.3.2020 and 22.5.2020 respectively and states that order Annexure P/1 dated 3.12.2019 in CRWP-1403-2019 having been complied with, no action is called for against respondent No.1 under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. Learned Counsel for the petitioner also states that in view of orders R-1 to R-3 i.e. warrants of temporary release dated 4.3.2020, 27.3.2020 and 22.5.2020 respectively, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

6. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel and in view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against respondent No.1 under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

7. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.03.2022

gsv

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No