

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Prem Sagar Verma

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Ms. Shelly Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 14.10.2021 in CWP No.21352 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.21352 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Haryana to decide EA-32-2020 in CC-305-2017 within three months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the notice issued to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him, order, Annexure P/1 dated 14.10.2021 in CWP No.21352 of 2021 has been complied with and EA-32-2020 in CC-305-2017 stands decided, therefore, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of

learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as

not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

13.05.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No

(B.S. Walia)

Judge