

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-14217-2022

Date of Decision: April 21, 2022

Amitabho Dasgupta

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Samir Rathaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Dosanjh, Advocate for
Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakshi, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Jatin Bansal, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Short reply dated 19.4.2022 by way of an affidavit, has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Vide order dated 04.04.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.04.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh. However, learned State counsel as well as counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail. They further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 04.04.2022, is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

April 21, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No