

127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CWP-17391-2022

Date of Decision: 08.08.2022

Shailly @ Shailly Kagra

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the present petition, the petitioner is challenging the validity of instructions issued by respondent No.2, vide impugned letter dated 11.05.2022 (Annexure P-14), but the said prayer is not being pressed by the petitioner at this stage as she intends to approach the respondents at this stage, keeping in view the order passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP-2715-2020**, titled as ***“Ritika Vs. State of Haryana and others”***, decided on 16.07.2020, by filing an appropriate representation in this regard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, keeping in view the advance service of the copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner before the respondents, raising the aforesaid grievance, the same will be considered in accordance with law by the authorities concerned and appropriate speaking

order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt

of such representation.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made hereinbefore by learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage with the liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

**08.08.2022**

*Apurva*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No