

274 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7839 of 2021
DECIDED ON: 21.07.2022**

Rai Singh

...Petitioner...

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijili Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate,
 for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was entitled for the grant of ACP, which benefit has not been extended to him that too without any valid reason.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in the present case, the petitioner is seeking a writ of mandamus, but, the petitioner has not raised the claim, which has been raised in the present petition, before the authorities concerned. Hence, the present petition is not maintainable.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case, the petitioner raises the grievances before the authorities concerned, the same will be considered in accordance with law and appropriate order will be passed by the concerned authorities within a period two months from the date of receipt of any such representation.

Keeping in view the submission made above, learned counsel

for the petitioner submits that the instant petition may be disposed of as having been withdrawn with liberty to approach the concerned authorities.

Ordered accordingly.

21.07.2022
sonika

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes

Yes