

[151] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.664 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 07.04.2022

Hardeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Naman Markan, PCS, Secretary, Regional
Transport Authority, Patiala.

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 01.02.2022 in CWP No.532 of 2022.

[2] Although, notice has yet not been issued in the instant case, yet on the previous date i.e. 04.04.2022, the following order was passed:-

“ Learned AAG, who is present in court, has filed reply along with Annexures R/1 and R/2. The same is taken on record. He undertakes to furnish copy of the same to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Since, none is present on behalf of the petitioner, in the interest of justice, adjourned to 07.04.2022.

To be shown in the list of urgent cases. ”

[3] Today, learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the filing of reply and passing of orders (Annexures R-1 & R-2), the respondent has passed order vide endorsement No.1279 dated

petitioner to operate the bus service till the framing of regular time table.

Order dated 06.04.2022 is taken on record.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of order dated 06.04.2022, the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>