

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

257

**CRM-M-21087-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:31.08.2022**

Jaswinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Dr. Sangeeta Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, APP, UT, Chandigarh
for respondents No.4 & 5.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

On 05.04.2022, the petitioner was absent, however on 25.08.2022, counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. But today again, the present is unrepresented.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 submit that it is a frivolous petition because the DNA test has been conducted, as per which, biologically the child is related to the petitioner. He further submits that they were expecting a male child by some magical remedies which is absolutely un-scientific. Learned counsel further submits that although magical remedies for a male child were not given by Sangeeta Nursing Home which is run by respondent No.1.

Learned State counsel also submits that DNA report corroborates the submissions made by learned counsel for the private respondent No.1.

Given above, no ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order. In view of DNA reports, the present petition has rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as infructuous. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

31.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No