

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

**CRM-M-22025-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.12.2022**

Neena Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate
for the petitioner
Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

Status report dated 15.12.2022 by way of affidavit of Ramandeep Singh Bhullar, ACP (Central) Ludhiana is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that an application was filed before learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Ludhiana to permit to take photograph of the agreement for the purpose of comparison of signatures of the petitioner and her husband-Sushil Kumar. The application has been allowed by learned Civil Judge, vide order dated 13.12.2022.

On being confronted with the afore-stated fact, learned counsel for the petitioner states that his grievance stands redressed and accordingly, the petition may be disposed of as infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

15.12.2022

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*