

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3022-2022

Date of decision : 02.04.2022

Jaspreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Singh Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos.3, 5, 6, 8 and 11.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 24.11.1997 and petitioner No.2 was born on 16.02.1998, and have married each other on 28.03.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon Voter Card and Aadhaar Card (Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively), the Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and Photographs (Annexure P-4). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 28.03.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also been given to respondent No.1 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.1 looks into the representation dated 28.03.2022 (Annexure P-5) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.3, 5, 6, 8 and 11 and has submitted that the said relatives have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners but the petitioners have taken away cash and gold articles, regarding which, they have given a complaint.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1 to look into the representation dated 28.03.2022 (Annexure P-5) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.1 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

It is open to respondent Nos.3, 5, 6, 8 and 11 to pursue their abovesaid complaint.

02.04.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No