

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.3006 of 2022

Date of Decision:02.04.2022

Vishal Singh and Another

..... Petitioners

V/s.

State of Punjab and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vishneet Singh Kathpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus hence directing official respondents No.2 and 3, to give protection to the life, and liberty, of the petitioners, who are living in live-in relationship, and against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of private respondents No. 4 to 18.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-4.

5. Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-4, through a speaking order.

6. However, the afore order is subject to the condition that, upon the Investigating Officer, discovering credible evidence, with respect to the age of the co-petitioner Navpreet Kaur, and, in case from the birth certificate concerned, it is clear that she is below 18 years, thereupon, upon, a complaint being filed by the

father of the afore, it is open for the Investigating Officer concerned, to draw an

appropriate action, against the accused, in accordance with law. Nonetheless, he may not arrest him without issuing seven days notice upon him.

7. Petition is disposed of.
8. A copy of this order be given *dasti* on payment of usual charges.

2nd April, 2022

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)

JUDGE