

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.201-2

CRM-M-11080-2020

Date of decision: 04.03.2022

Puneet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. PBS Goraya, Advocate, for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.12 dated 19.02.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324, 384, 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Fattudhinga, District Kapurthala.

The petitioner and his co-accused Kuldeep Singh are being prosecuted for having inflicted injuries on Sukhjinder Singh and Kabal Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to a land dispute between the parties; the injuries on the complainant party are self inflicted; the complainant-party was the aggressor and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join the investigation.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner and his co-accused inflicted as many as 10

injuries on Sukhjinder Singh and Kabal Singh.

Allegedly, 10 injuries have been cumulatively caused by the petitioner and his co-accused on Sukhjinder Singh and Kabal Singh. Out of these 10 injuries, 07 are attributed to the petitioner which include a datar blow on Sukhjinder Singhs' head leading to its fracture.

In the light of the above serious allegations against the petitioner, he is held not entitled to the grant of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

March 04, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No