

516 (1st case)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-20342-2002 (O&M)

Date of decision:14.11.2022

Mauji Ram

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the appeal dated 26.02.2002 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioner against the order dated 15.02.2002 (Annexure P-5) whereby a punishment of stoppage of one increment with cumulative effect as well as a recovery of an amount of Rs.28,661/- and downgrading the ACR for the year 1993-94 was imposed upon the petitioner.

2. Petition was admitted for hearing on 27.02.2004.

3. When taken up for final adjudication, after around 19 years, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Vide order dated 22.09.2022, fresh notice was ordered to be sent to the petitioner. As per office report, notice sent to petitioner has been received back unserved with the report that petitioner died on 22.10.2010. Legal Representatives have not approached this Court. In the premise, no useful purpose would be served to issue fresh notice to the legal representatives as the same too would be an exercise in futility.

4. It seems that by sheer effluxion of time and pendency of the writ petition for more than 19 years before this Court, either it has been rendered

infructuous or even otherwise the petitioner/legal representatives seem to have lost interest in pursuing the same.

5. Be that as it may, writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

November 14, 2022
Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No