

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14143 of 2022
Date of Decision: 13.07.2022**

Shashi Nath Pathak @ Abhishek Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr.Punit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Additional Advocate
General, Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case registered vide the FIR bearing No.60 dated 19.09.2021 at Police Station Cyber Crime Gurugram, District Gurugram, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Section 66 D of the Information and Technology Act, 2008, with the allegations that he, along-with his co-accused, prepared a fake IFFCO TOKIO insurance policy for the Toyota Fortuner vehicle of the complainant who had paid Rs.51,218/- for the same.

2. Learned State counsel has submitted the custody-certificate of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on the record.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in the instant petition and have also perused the file thoroughly.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the afore-said amount of insurance premium was transferred in the bank account of the co-accused of the petitioner and moreover, the petitioner is in custody in the present case since 22.09.2021 and the Challan has already been presented in the Court and in these circumstances, the petitioner deserves the relief as prayed for in this petition.

5. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position.

6. Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances and also the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Shashi Nath Pathak @ Abhishek Pal is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petition in hand stands allowed accordingly.

July 13, 2022
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*