

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

280

**CRM-M-12012-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.08.2022**

Balwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the closure of the prosecution evidence because despite availing 25 opportunities prosecution could not lead its evidence, the complainant has come up before this Court.

I have perused the order dated 18.02.2019 (Annexure P-4).

Since the complainant is a person who would be suffering because of lapse of evidence and failure of prosecution to lead evidence, as such, the impugned order dated 18.02.2019 (P-4) is set aside and the prosecution is directed to lead his evidence positively on or before 31.10.2022. The trial is also expedited.

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No