

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14505-2022

Date of Decision: April 21, 2022

Divya Gogna

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.C.S.Singhal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. praying for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 by this Court vide order dated 01.12.2021 in case FIR No.88, dated 08.08.2021, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that interim anticipatory bail was granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 26.08.2021, which was made absolute vide order dated 01.12.2021, be cancelled as he is misusing the same. He further submits that the petitioner has filed a complaint to the SHO/Police Post Incharge, District Court, Ludhiana, dated 11.10.2021, Annexure P-3,

However, after hearing the counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, the Court finds that there is nothing on record showing that respondent No.2 is misusing the concession of bail. It is stated that

same would be dealt with by the trial Court on appreciation of the facts and evidence to be led before it.

In the overall facts and circumstances, no justification to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 is made out.

The petition, being devoid of any merit, is hereby dismissed.

April 21, 2022

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No