

CRM-M-11070-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11070-2020

Date of Decision: 24.08.2022

Navinder Ahuja

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. L.M.Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No.3 and 4 to provide police force/help to the petitioner to get constructed boundary wall over his land in view of the directions issued by respondent No.2-District Magistrate, Amritsar, vide letter dated 19.07.2010.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.5, who is the President of Sarv Dharam Ekta Mandir Dharamshala Society, is not allowing the petitioner to get constructed the wall over his own land despite the fact that the directions were issued by the District Magistrate, Amritsar, way back on 19.07.2010; that the petitioner moved the representations dated 18/25.02.2017 (Annexure P-3) and dated 02.05.2019 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.3-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, for redressal of his grievance, which had been received by the office of respondent No.3 and marked to the concerned S.H.O, but no action has been taken thereupon so far.

CRM-M-11070-2020

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

A perusal of the record would show that the order dated 19.07.2010 passed by respondent No.2, has not been placed on record. Moreover, the petitioner made the representations dated 18/25.02.2017 (Annexure P-3) and dated 02.05.2019 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.3 for redressal of his grievance in the light of the order passed by respondent No.2 way back on 19.07.2010, meaning thereby the petitioner approached the police authorities for the first time in the year 2017 for providing police help despite the fact that respondent No.2-District Magistrate, Amritsar, passed the order in 2010. Now, much water has flown under the bridge. This Court is not expected to give indulgence to tardy, slothful and lethargic persons like the petitioner and the delay in approaching the police after such a period, reflects inactivity and inaction on his part.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

24.08.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No