

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3226-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.08.2022

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. C.S. Rana, Advocate and
Ms. Himani, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, who is being harassed by respondent No. 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the main grievance of the petitioner was against respondent No.4, who is working in the Police Department and to grab the property of the petitioner, he managed respondent No. 5 to file a complaint against the petitioner. The complaint filed by respondent No.5, was found to be false and frivolous in the inquiry conducted on the said complaint.

Learned State counsel points out that the inquiry was conducted by a Senior Police Officer and the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and now, no complaint or inquiry is pending against the petitioner.

In view of the above, the petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, if any grievance arises in the matter, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy for reopening of this case.

30.08.2022

Mangal Singh

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No