

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7030 of 2022
Date of Decision:-04.04.2022**

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The Transport Commissioner, Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition with a prayer that the petitioner had filed an application dated 24.3.2017 (Annexure P-1) for the grant of Stage Carriage permit on a particular route but despite the expiry of five years, the respondents have not passed any order accepting or rejecting the said application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the action of the respondents in keeping the application of the petitioner pending is causing prejudice to the petitioner and the petitioner has approached the respondents again vide representation dated 15.2.2021 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case direction is given to the respondents to decide the representation dated 15.2.2021 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 15.2.2021 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.

Without going into the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition or in the representation, the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 15.2.2021 (Annexure P-5) within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, after the decision, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefits, the same be also extended to him within a period of next four weeks.

April 04, 2022
Vijay Asija

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No