

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 205)

CRM-M No. 15993 of 2021

Date of decision : 27.04.2022

Vijay Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A. S. Shera, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Lakshman Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.74 dated 28.05.2019 registered under Sections 34, 406 and 498-A IPC at Women Police Station, Faridabad, district Faridabad.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as also learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant submit that the impugned FIR, which has originated from a matrimonial dispute between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2, has since been amicably resolved and one of the terms of such resolution is that respondent No.2 have no objection if the impugned FIR is quashed.

In the light of the above, continuation of the proceedings in pursuance of the afore-referred FIR would be an abuse of the process of law

and in terms of the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, this Court deems it just and proper to allow the petition and resultantly quash FIR No.74 dated 28.05.2019 registered under Sections 34, 406 and 498-A IPC at Women Police Station, Faridabad, district Faridabad and all proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

27.04.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No