

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13922-2022

Date of decision : 23.08.2022

Sukhminder Kaur and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Dinesh Trehan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohinder S. Joshi, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the complainant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

On 2nd of April, 2022, the following order was passed :-

- “1. Notice of motion.
2. Mr. Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, Punjab waives service of notice on behalf of respondent-State.
3. Reply/status report be filed.
4. Since, the co-petitioner No.2 has been arrested, and therefore the present petition, insofar it appertains to the co-petitioner No.2, is rendered infructuous, and, is disposed of, as such.
5. In the meantime, till further orders, in the event of the arrest of co-petitioners Nos. 1 and 3, by the arresting Officer, the latter shall release them, subject to theirs furnishing personal and surety bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, and, also subject to theirs rendering co-operation to him, in

the investigations to be carried into offences as mentioned in the present FIR.

6. *List on 25.05.2022.*

7. *Dasti copy.”*

2. Today, Ld. State Counsel on instructions from ASI Hamir Singh submits that though the petitioners have joined investigation but the wheat which was illegally harvested is yet to be recovered.

3. Keeping in view the nature of allegations and the fact that the petitioners have already joined investigation, the order dated 2nd of April, 2022 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Sections 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. The petitions stands disposed off.

August 23, 2022

Dpr

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No