

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13970-2022

Date of decision : 04.04.2022

Gurkewal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Abhay Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.146 dated 14.11.2021, cross version Rapat No.40 dated 14.11.2021 for offence under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda.

In the present case, it has been pointed out to the learned counsel for the petitioner that offence under Section 326 of IPC has not been mentioned in the headnote and even DDR registered on the basis of Rapat No.40 dated 14.11.2021, regarding which, present anticipatory bail has been filed, has not been annexed and even the details of the injured person from the complainant's side or from the petitioner's side have not

been given and it has also not been stated in the petition as to whether the injury which has been attributed to the petitioner on the right side of the ear is grievous or not.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh petition after giving better particulars.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

04.04.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No