

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CRM-M-11224-2020

Date of decision: 14.07.2022

Baljeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Bijender Singh Dhankhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482, Cr.P.C., seeking quashing of FIR No.54 dated 18.08.2019, registered for offences under Sections 323, 354, 506, 509 and 354-B, IPC, at Women Police Station, Sonipat (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including the challan report under Section 173, Cr.P.C., dated 29.10.2019 (Annexure P-2).

Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the State. Upon instructions from L/ASI, Seema, State counsel submits that the petitioner has been acquitted by judgment dated 08.02.2022 and the petition has become infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not have any instructions.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the petition, in case, position is otherwise.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

14.07.2022
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes/No