

Arman @ Armaan Siwach

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
516	22.11.2021	Civil Line, District Hisar	323, 506& 34 IPC and Section 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act

Criminal Case no. before trial Court	Bail Application No.134 of 2022 CIS No.BA/712/2022 Date of order: 28.03.2022
---	--

The petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 438 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The petitioner had filed a bail application before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar which was dismissed on 28.03.2022.

3. Learned State counsel has opposed the petition on the ground that the same is not maintainable.

4. As per Section 14 A of the SC/ST Act, an appeal is appropriate remedy against the order of rejection of bail. There is no reason to bypass the statutory remedy. Thus, present petition is not maintainable.

5. Confronted with this learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the petition with the liberty to file fresh one and also seek interim protection till the filing of such petition.

6. The prayer is innocuous.

7. Given above, the present petition is disposed as withdrawn with the liberty to file an appeal under Section 14A of SC/ST Act.

8. There is stay of arrest of the petitioner for one week to file the appropriate legal remedy.

9. It is clarified that the interim protection shall vacate automatically after the expiry of period of one week.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

01.04.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
No.