

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21435-2018

Date of decision : 11.10.2022

MADHU

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. K.S. Malik-I, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)**

The prayer in the present petition under Section 407 is for transfer of case FIR No.126 dated 21.03.2015 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak, District Rohtak along with the complete record to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Panipat, Karnal at Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the petitioner is a lady and resident of Panipat and the trial is going on at Rohtak, it is inconvenient to travel to Rohtak on every date. Moreover, there is apprehension that the accused persons/respondents would browbeat her at Rohtak as they are all residents of the said place. He, thus prays that the proceedings be transferred from Rohtak to Panipat, Karnal or Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that the Police would ensure adequate security to the petitioner when she

travels to Rohtak for the purposes of deposition in the Trial to allay all her fears and apprehensions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the rival submissions of both sides, I deem it appropriate to direct the Superintendent of Police, Panipat to ensure adequate security to the petitioner when she travels to Rohtak for the purposes of deposition in the Trial.

Disposed of with the aforesaid direction.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

11.10.2022
JITESH/JYOTI3

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No