

104
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13735-2022
Date of Decision: 01.04.2022

VARINDER SINGH @ TEENU

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. R.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR NO.103 dated 28.09.2021, under Sections 364 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and he was declared as a P.O but inadvertently he could not disclose the same before this Court.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The present petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail. Once the petitioner is declared a proclaimed offender in the present case, the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail would not be maintainable in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in

the case of *State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Pardeep Sharma [2014(1) RCR (Criminal) 269]*.

In view of the above, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

01.04.2022

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No