

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(248)

CRM-M No. 21327 of 2016

Date of decision: 07.4.2022

Surinder Pal Singh @ Shinda

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present:- Mr. J.S.Ghumman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate
for the complainant.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that vide order dated 11.2.2020, the petitioner has been convicted by the learned trial Court. Consequently, he submits that for the reasons (supra), the present petition becomes rendered infructuous.
2. The petition is disposed of as becoming, for the reason (supra), hence rendered infructuous.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

April 07, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No