

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18379-2022

Date of decision : 18.07.2022

Vijendra Singh @ Tillu @ Bijender @ Dhillu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr.Anmol Puri, Advocate for  
Mr.Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.175 dated 24.06.2019 registered under Section 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Section 61 of the Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Salhawas, District Jhajjar.

On 02.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that neither the petitioner has been named in the FIR nor his name figured in the secret information and he has been implicated solely on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused namely Sombir who was arrested at the spot and from whom, the recovery of illegal liquor (not illicit liquor) has been effected. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not even the owner of the vehicle in which the said illegal liquor has been found. It is argued that the petitioner is involved in large number of cases but in most of the cases, he has been acquitted and in the cases which are pending, he has already been granted bail.*

*Notice of motion.*

*On asking of the Court, Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana*

*and seeks time to get instructions.*

*Adjourned to 18.07.2022.*

*In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*

**02.05.2022**

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE"**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dharminder Dagar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 02.05.2022, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 02.05.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**July 18, 2022**

*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No