

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2928-2022

Date of decision: 05.04.2022

Sanjiv @ Sanjeev

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. A.S.Barnala, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-W-408-2022

Allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-6 and P-7 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-W-409-2022

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for impleading the legal heirs of respondent No.4 in the present petition only.

Heard.

In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and Vinod and Ashok are impleaded as legal heirs of respondent No.4 in the present petition only, subject to all just exceptions. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CRWP-2928-2022

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent

No.4-Balbir (since deceased) put in appearance before the revenue authority in the partition application filed by the petitioner; that respondent No.4 approached this Court by way of CWP-5053-2022 and the said petition was disposed of, vide order dated 14.03.2022 passed by a Coordinate Bench, with a direction to the concerned authority to take up the pending revision petition alongwith the stay application on 28.03.2022 and till the decision of the stay application, further proceedings before the Assistant Collector were stayed. Even then, the private respondent is in the process of harvesting the crop of the petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has moved a representation (Annexure P-4) sent through postal receipt dated 25.03.2022 (Anenxure P-5) before respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Haryana, for redressal of his grievance, which has not been decided yet and he would be satisfied if the said representation is ordered to be decided within a stipulated time.

In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Panipat, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) sent through postal receipt dated 25.03.2022 (Anenxure P-5) by the petitioner, within a period of 20 days after the receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

05.04.2022

parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No