

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14194-2022
Date of decision :01.09.2022

RINKU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.37 dated 27.02.2022 registered under Sections 29, 20(b) (ii) of the NDPS Act at Police Station Titram District Kaithal.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.04.2022, petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. Even otherwise non-commercial quantity of contraband has been recovered from the main accused. He relies upon the order in *Arjan Singh Versus State of Punjab passed in CRM-M-36573-2022*, wherein, in a case where the recovery of less than commercial quantity of contraband was effected from the main accused, the accused-person who was named in his disclosure statement was granted the concession of anticipatory bail. The call records between the petitioner and the co-accused in the

absence of the contents of the conversation would not inculcate the petitioner.

The learned State counsel on instructions from SI Ram Lal admits the aforesaid facts and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation in the case. He further states that there is no other case under the NDPS Act against the petitioner.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 04.04.2022 is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)

JUDGE

01.09.2022

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No