

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(106)

CWP-6931-2022

Date of Decision : April 02, 2022

Kuldip Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Virinder Kumar Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no punishment as envisaged under Rule 8 of the PSEB (now PSPCL) Employees (P&A) Regulations, 1971 can be imposed upon the petitioner after his retirement and therefore, on this account even the charge-sheet is liable to be set aside.

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes the fact that as of now, no punishment has been imposed upon the petitioner. That being so, no order is required to be passed on the grievance which is being raised now. In case, after the finalization of the departmental proceedings, if any order is passed by the respondent, which, according to the petitioner, is beyond the jurisdiction, the petitioner will be at liberty to assail the said order after availing appropriate remedy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the petitioner does not want to press this petition being premature and the same may kindly be allowed to be withdrawn at this stage with liberty to raise the grievance at the appropriate stage if need be.

Ordered accordingly.

April 02, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No