

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CWP-7690-2021

Date of Decision :13.07.2022

Murti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that order Annexure P/5 passed by respondent No.3, which has been impugned in the present petition is factually incorrect as the claim of the petitioner for the regularization of her services, has been declined by the respondents on the ground that no employee junior to the petitioner has been regularized, whereas the petitioner has given details of the person junior to her namely, Santro Devi whose services were regularised by the respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner raising the said grievance before the authority concerned, the same will be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the

said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed off having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate representation raising the grievance as raised in the present petition before the authority concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

**July 13, 2022**  
*aarti*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable : Yes/No*