

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(108)

CRM-M No. 14131 of 2022

Date of Decision: 04.4.2022

Iklash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Farukh Abdullah, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Though, in respect of the incriminatory occurrence concerned, and, as becomes narrated in Annexure P-1, a compromise has occurred inter se the victim-complainant, and, the accused. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the compromise (supra), is drawn under duress, and, compulsion, being exercised, upon the victim-complainant, by the police officer concerned.

2. The afore made submission is not supported by any tangible evidence. However, in the larger interest of justice, and, if so, the SHO, Police Station Ferozpur Jhirka, District Mewat, with whom the representation (Annexure P-1), is pending, may after seeking co-operation from all concerned, proceed to, through a speaking order, decide representation (Annexure P-1), within three weeks hereafter. Thereafter, it is open to him to order for further investigations, being made into the

incident, as narrated in Annexure P-1.

3. The petition is disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

April 04, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No