

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

299

CRWP-2927-2022

Decided on : 12.07.2022

Sukhpreet Singh and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in their life at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 9.

On 31.03.2022, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“This petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is averred that petitioners are major. Petitioner No. 2 is married with respondent No. 4. Petitioner No. 1 is unmarried. Out of earlier marriage of petitioner No. 2, 3 children are born who are staying with respondent No. 4 (husband of petitioner No. 2). Petitioner No. 2 has not yet obtained divorce from respondent No. 4. It is stated

that petitioner No. 2 left her matrimonial home and started living in live-in relationship with petitioner No. 1. It is stated that petitioners apprehend danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents. In this regard, they have submitted representation dated 28.3.2022 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No. 2 seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 2 and 3 only.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3.

Adjourned to 26.4.2022.

In the meanwhile, respondent No. 2 (Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal) is directed to look into representation dated 28.3.2022 (Annexure P-3) and take necessary action as may be warranted in law.

31.03.2022 *Sd/-
(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE”*

Learned State counsel has referred to the status report filed by way of affidavit of DSP, Guhla, District Kaithal and has specifically referred to Annexure R-1/T and Annexure R-2/T which are the statements of the petitioners as per which both the petitioners have stated that both of them are living well at their place and there is no danger of any kind to them and they do not require any kind of security and has thus, submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a representation before respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police in case the petitioners have any apprehension to their life and liberty in future on account of their being in a live in relationship and in case, such a representation is filed, respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the same on the aspect of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

July 12th, 2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No