

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11474-2020

DECIDED ON: MARCH 31st, 2022

JASKARAN SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.R. Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.0153, dated 02.06.2019, under Sections 307, 336, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (later on Sections 307, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and 25 and 27 of Arms Act were deleted vide rapat No.18 dated 24.10.2019), registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused

persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by

them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwandi Sabo, vide report dated 04.02.2022 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntarily and without any threat, coercion or undue influence. It has also been stated in the report that there are three accused in the present FIR and none of the accused were declared proclaimed offender.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel, who does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwandi Sabo and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”**, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.0153, dated 02.06.2019, under Sections 307, 336, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (later on Sections 307, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and 25 and 27 of

Arms Act were deleted vide rapat No.18 dated 24.10.2019), registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

MARCH 31st, 2022
poonam negi

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>