

**126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**1.RA-CR-20-2022(O&M)
in
CR-8645-2017**

M/s Aricent Technologies (Holdings) Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Besto Electronics Private Limited and others

..Respondents

**2.RA-CR-21-2022(O&M)
in
CR-985-2018**

M/s Aricent Technologies (Holdings) Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Besto Electronics Private Limited and others

..Respondents

Date of decision: 31.03.2022

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Aashish Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Yashpal Sharma, Advocate for the review applicant

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

On 15.03.2022, two revision petitions i.e CR-8645-2017
and CR-985-2018 were disposed of, with the following common order:-

“1. It is not in dispute that the suit filed under the Commercial Courts Act, 2015, is nearing its completion. The decision of the case has been kept in abeyance, due to an interim order passed by the High Court. These two revision petitions i.e. CR-8645-2017 and CR-985-2018 have been filed challenging the interlocutory orders passed by the trial Court.

2. Since both the parties have already led their evidence and the suit is ripe for the final arguments, therefore, it is considered appropriate to dispose of both the revision petitions, while directing

the trial Court to decide the suit, positively within a month, from today. Needless to observe that any observation made by this Court, while passing interlocutory orders, shall not bind the trial Court while deciding the suit finally.

3. With the observations made above, both the revision petitions are disposed of.”

A review application has been filed with a prayer to review the order. It has been submitted that there is an inadvertent error in the last sentence of para 2 of the order.

In the considered view of the Court, there is no necessity to issue notice to the other side as there is an inadvertent error in the order and it is not going to prejudice the case of the other side. Accordingly, it is directed that the last line of para 2 of the order dated 15.03.2022 be read as *“Needless to observe that any observation made by this Court or the orders passed by the court below while passing the interlocutory orders, shall not bind the trial court while deciding the suit.”*

Disposed of.

31.03.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE