

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CRM-M-13642-2022

Date of Decision:01.04.2022

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Diwan. S. Adlakha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has objected to the present petition on the ground that charges have been framed.

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to file an appropriate petition challenging the impugned charges. He further submits that delay be exempted for the period already consumed in filing this petition while filing fresh petition.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with liberty to file an appropriate petition. It is clarified that time consumed in filing this petition shall not be counted qua limitation in filing the fresh petition and disposal of the present petition shall not come in the way of the petitioner while challenging the impugned charges.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

01.04.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No