

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CRWP-2959-2022
Date of decision: 01.04.2022

SUDHA RANI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Salinder Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition had been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of direction by directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of respondents No.5 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.5 is her daughter-in-law. Her son Sanjeev Kumar has since then expired. There is seemingly a dispute within the family in relation to the *inter se* rights to property.

After arguing vehemently for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to take recourse to the appropriate remedy available to her as per law.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage with liberty as prayed for.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

APRIL 01, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No