

**256-A**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15079-2021**

**Date of Decision:25.08.2022**

**GURINDERPAL SINGH AND OTHERS**

**.....Petitioners**

**Vs**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH***

Present: Mr. Paraspreet Singh, Advocate for  
Ms. K.B.Kaur, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.P.B.S. Goraya, Advocate for  
respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is for quashing of cross case D.D.R. No.21 dated 08.01.2018 under Sections 324, 506, 323, 34 of IPC and Section 326 of IPC added later on in case FIR No.10 dated 07.01.2018 under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhaina Rural (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 08.06.2020 (Annexures P-4), arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 07.04.2021, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise deed dated 07.01.2020 (Annexures P-4).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 07.04.2021 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Jagraon, and as per the report dated 13.05.2021 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in **“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”**. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Jagraon, accompanied by statements of both the parties, D.D.R. No.21 dated 08.01.2018 under Sections 324, 506, 323, 34 of IPC and Section 326 of IPC added later on in case FIR No.10 dated 07.01.2018 under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhaina Rural (Annexure P-1), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

25.08.2022  
*Amandeep*

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |