

CRM-M-13404-2022
Date of Decision: 31.03.2022

Vikas ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. L.S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

* * * *

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
106	14.09.2020	City Nakodar, District Jalandhar	22 of NDPS Act

1. Challenging the order of cancellation of bail and issuance of non-bailable warrants due to the default in an appearance before the trial court, the petitioner has come up before this court.
2. The background leading to the cancellation of bail and issuance of non-bailable warrants is that the petitioner was arraigned as an accused in the above-mentioned FIR and was subsequently granted interim bail in the same vide order dated 21.10.2020. The Petitioner did not put in requisite appearance before the Ld. Trial Court which resulted in cancellation of his bail vide order dated 22.02.2022.
3. In paragraph 6 of the petition, the accused offers his explanation for the same. It is stated that he had been regularly attending on all dates and could not appear on that date on account of being late.
4. Although the petitioner appears to be lacking serious intent, it cannot be tantamount to complete disregard considering the explanation offered. Furthermore, the disruptive effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the country's varied systems also should not be ignored. Given this background and the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, I am satisfied by the explanation offered. The petition is allowed to the extent mentioned in this order and with the following conditions.

5. There shall be a stay of the petitioner's arrest in the case mentioned above for ten days; however, if the petitioner fails to appear within this time of 10 days, then stay on arrest shall stand vacated without any further reference to this court. It is clarified that if the petitioner appears before the concerned court, then the impugned order dated 22.02.2022, Annexure, issued by the concerned court against the petitioner in the matter mentioned above shall stand quashed, warrants canceled, and bonds restored. However, in case the Id. trial court so desires, it may ask the petitioner to furnish fresh bail bonds, and in such an eventuality, the petitioner shall execute a bond for attendance in the concerned court to its satisfaction.

6. Within ten days from today, the petitioner shall procure a smartphone and inform its IMEI number and other details to the SHO/I.O. of the concerned Police station. The petitioner shall always keep the phone location/GPS on the "ON" mode. Whenever the Investigating officer asks to share the location, the petitioner shall immediately do so. The petitioner shall neither clear the location history, WhatsApp chats, calls nor format the phone without permission of the concerned SHO/I.O. This condition shall continue till the completion of the trial or closure of case, whatever is earlier.

7. Within ten days from today, the petitioner shall deposit a sum of rupees ten thousand in 'High Court Lawyers Welfare Fund,' Account number 65018692589, SB, IFBI code SBIN0050306, Branch Code 50306, Branch Address State Bank of India, High Court Branch, Sector 1, Chandigarh. After depositing, the petitioner shall file the proof of deposit, before the concerned court and send its copy alongwith a copy of this order to the Secretary, Punjab & Haryana High Court Bar Association, either in physical or electronic mode.

8. Given the conduct of the petitioner, coupled with the nature of allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within thirty days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.

9. Any Advocate for the petitioner and the Officer in whose presence the petitioner puts signatures on personal bonds shall explain all conditions of this bail order in any language that the petitioner understands.

10. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the merits of the case nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.

11. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner can download this order along with case status from the official web page of this Court and attest it to be a true copy. In case the attesting officer wants to verify the authenticity, such an officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for attesting bonds.*

Petition allowed to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

31.03. 2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.