

CRM-M-14187-2022

Date of decision: 23.05.2022

RAM PALI BHADANA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Mahender Singh Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 04.04.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Ram Pali Bhadana-petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 252 dated 04.06.2019 under Sections 302/304-B/498-A/406/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Dabua, Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased. The deceased was a patient of Papillary Carcinoma Thyroid. There are medical opinions to indicate that it was a case of choking which could be accidental in nature, suicidal is rare and homicidal is very rare. Moreover, at the earlier instance the cancellation report was prepared on the score that it was a case of natural death and the deceased along with her husband were residing separate from other family members. Furthermore, Seema and Pawan have been granted interim bail and Mukhram-husband of the petitioner has been granted regular bail by this Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the

Arresting Officer subject to the condition that she joins the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. To be heard along with CRM-M-12355-2022 on 23.05.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from ACP Sukhbir Singh, learned State counsel has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspects and states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 04.04.2022, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is allowed.

23.05.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No