

295-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13652-2022
Date of decision: 23.05.2022

PAWAN

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Mahender Singh Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 01.04.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Pawan-petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 252 dated 04.06.2019 under Sections 302, 304-B, 498-A, 406, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Dabua, Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the brother-in-law (Jeth) of the deceased. The deceased was a patient of Papillary Carcinoma Thyroid. There are medical opinions to indicate that it was a case of choking which could be accidental in nature, suicidal is rare and homicidal is very rare. Moreover, at the earlier instance the cancellation report was prepared on the score that it was a case of natural death and the deceased along with her husband were residing separate from other family members. Furthermore, Seema, the wife of the petitioner has been granted interim bail and Mukh Ram, the father-in-law has been granted regular bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing

*personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
To be heard along with CRM-M-12355-2022.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from ACP Sukhbir Singh, learned State counsel has not assailed the aforesaid factual aspects and states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated , granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is allowed.

23.05.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No