

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

**CWP-7420-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.10.2022**

BALJIT SINGH CHAHAL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 for seeking issuance of a directions to the official respondents to provide adequate security to the petitioner alleging threat perception which is duly recorded in the communication forwarded to the Additional Director General of Police, Punjab.

To briefly summarize, the case of the petitioner was that he is a spokesperson of the Punjab Pradesh Congress Committee and General Secretary Pradesh Youth Development Cell, Punjab and that security had been provided to him by the Additional Director General of Police (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh after verifying the threat perception vide letter dated 06.11.2021.

It is contended that on 13.08.2020, two unknown persons had followed him on motorcycle and had open attack with an intention to cause serious harm to the petitioner. Consequently, the matter was

investigated and the report about the threat to the petitioner was sent to the Superintendent of Police who further recommended the case to the Additional Director General of Police (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh to provide adequate security cover.

Pursuant to the notice issued, reply by way of affidavit of Balkar Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib had been filed. The relevant extract of the said response reads thus:

“That it is submitted that on the directions issued by the Additional Director General of Police (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh issued vide letter No.4957-5063/DDSB dated 04.02.2022, the security provided to the petitioner (i.e. one gun man), was withdrawn.

Now, on the directions issued by the Additional Director General of Police (Security), Punjab, Chandigarh issued vide letter No.29654/DDSB-4 dated 21.06.2022, the S.H.O., P.S. City Malout made fresh assessment in respect of alleged security threat of the petitioner. As per the report of the S.H.O., P.S. City Malout, there is no alleged security threat to the petitioner. The petitioner is residing in densely inhabited area where there is regular patrolling by the P.C.R. The petitioner has been provided the mobile Nos. of the S.H.O., P.S. Malout and Head Munshi of P.S. City Malout with the advice that he can contact the police through the said mobile Nos. if he feels any necessity. The S.H.O., P.S. City Malout has also been directed to ensure regular patrolling in the area of the residence of the petitioner to ensure safety of the petitioner.

5. That as regards the alleged occurrence dated 13.08.2020, the petitioner had neither informed the police nor any record/information had been received by the

police from the Hospital regarding the alleged occurrence.”

In view of the aforesaid specific response noticing that the case has been considered by the competent authority and no threat perception had been found to be in actual existence, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition at this stage.

Disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 28, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No