

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6624 of 2020
Date of decision: 20.05.2022**

Priyanka Joshi

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to quash the written examination conducted for the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of Public Administration.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was a meritorious student and had secured high marks throughout her entire academic career. The petitioner had secured 63% marks in 10th, 78% marks in 12th, 71% marks in graduation, 66% marks in B.Ed., 78% marks in Masters, 67% marks in M.Phil. and had passed UGC/NET in 2012. She was a meritorious candidate and in the instant written examination, she should have succeeded. In the written examination, the questions had been taken from the question papers of the examinations for the previous years. The questions have been reproduced in para No. 6 of the petition.

Heard. It is not necessary that a person with a good or brilliant academic record would perform better in a competitive examination. In para No. 6 of the petition, it has been set out that the questions had been taken

from the previous years' examinations starting from the year 2013 to 2017. If the questions had been taken from the previous years' questions papers, it would also not vitiate the examination. The petitioner had taken the examination and was not found to be meritorious.

In view of the above, I do not find any merit in this petition. Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

20.05.2022
Ramesh

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No